

Cryptic Variation in Morphological Evolution: HSP90 as a Capacitor for Loss of Eyes in Cavefish Nicolas Rohner *et al. Science* **342**, 1372 (2013); DOI: 10.1126/science.1240276

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

If you wish to distribute this article to others, you can order high-quality copies for your colleagues, clients, or customers by clicking here.

Permission to republish or repurpose articles or portions of articles can be obtained by following the guidelines here.

The following resources related to this article are available online at www.sciencemag.org (this information is current as of January 16, 2014):

Updated information and services, including high-resolution figures, can be found in the online version of this article at: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6164/1372.full.html

Supporting Online Material can be found at: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2013/12/11/342.6164.1372.DC1.html

A list of selected additional articles on the Science Web sites **related to this article** can be found at: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6164/1372.full.html#related

This article **cites 29 articles**, 5 of which can be accessed free: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6164/1372.full.html#ref-list-1

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published weekly, except the last week in December, by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copyright 2013 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science; all rights reserved. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

REPORTS

and this intrinsic flexibility has been extensively exploited by natural selection. Although TF binding within exons may serve multiple functional roles, our analyses above is agnostic to these roles, which may be complex (*36*).

References and Notes

- R. Grantham, C. Gautier, M. Gouy, R. Mercier, A. Pavé, Nucleic Acids Res. 8, r49–r62 (1980).
- 2. T. Ikemura, J. Mol. Biol. 151, 389-409 (1981).
- 3. R. Grantham, C. Gautier, M. Gouy, M. Jacobzone,
- R. Mercier, Nucleic Acids Res. 9, r43–r74 (1981).
 M. Gouy, C. Gautier, Nucleic Acids Res. 10, 7055–7074 (1982)
- A. Eyre-Walker, M. Bulmer, Nucleic Acids Res. 21, 4599–4603 (1993).
- D. B. Carlini, W. Stephan, *Genetics* 163, 239–243 (2003).
- M. dos Reis, R. Savva, L. Wernisch, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 5036–5044 (2004).
- J. L. Parmley, J. V. Chamary, L. D. Hurst, *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 23, 301–309 (2006).
- T. Warnecke, C. C. Weber, L. D. Hurst, *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 37, 756–761 (2009).
- 10. W. Gu, T. Zhou, C. O. Wilke, *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 6, e1000664 (2010).
- 11. M. F. Lin et al., Genome Res. 21, 1916–1928 (2011).
- 12. Z. Yang, R. Nielsen, Mol. Biol. Evol. 25, 568-579 (2008).
- 13. S. Neph et al., Nature 489, 83-90 (2012).

- S. M. Hyder, Z. Nawaz, C. Chiappetta, K. Yokoyama,
 G. M. Stancel, J. Biol. Chem. 270, 8506–8513 (1995).
- G. Lang, W. M. Gombert, H. J. Gould, *Immunology* 114, 25–36 (2005).
- D. I. Ritter, Z. Dong, S. Guo, J. H. Chuang, PLOS ONE 7, e35202 (2012).
- 17. A. H. Khan, A. Lin, D. J. Smith, *PLOS ONE* **7**, e46098 (2012).
- R. Y. Birnbaum et al., Genome Res. 22, 1059–1068 (2012).
- 19. Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials on *Science* Online.
- W.-H. Li, *Molecular Evolution* (Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA, 1997).
- 21. W. Fu et al., Nature 493, 216-220 (2013).
- C. Coulondre, J. H. Miller, P. J. Farabaugh, W. Gilbert, Nature 274, 775–780 (1978).
- 23. M. Bulmer, Nature 325, 728–730 (1987).
- 24. M. Bulmer, Genetics 129, 897-907 (1991).
- 25.]. Duan et al., Hum. Mol. Genet. 12, 205-216 (2003).
- 26. J. zur Megede et al., J. Virol. 74, 2628-2635 (2000).
- 27. J. R. Coleman *et al., Science* **320**, 1784–1787 (2008).
- 28. R. M. Samstein et al., Cell 151, 153-166 (2012).
- 29. S. McKnight, R. Tjian, Cell 46, 795-805 (1986).
- 30. C. Zhang *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, 2238–2246 (2006).
- 31. H. Xi et al., Genome Res. 17, 798-806 (2007).
- 32. A. Hellman, A. Chess, *Science* **315**, 1141–1143 (2007).

- D. Zilberman, M. Gehring, R. K. Tran, T. Ballinger,
 S. Henikoff, *Nat. Genet.* 39, 61–69 (2007).
- 34. S. Itzkovitz, U. Alon, *Genome Res.* **17**, 405–412 (2007).
- 35. S. Itzkovitz, E. Hodis, E. Segal, *Genome Res.* **20**, 1582–1589 (2010).
- T. R. Mercer *et al.*, *Nat. Genet.*, published online 23 June 2013 (10.1038/ng.2677).

Acknowledgments: We thank many colleagues for their insightful comments and critical readings of the manuscript. We also thank many colleagues who provided individual cell samples for DNasel analysis. We also thank E. Rynes for his technical assistance. This work was supported by NIH grants U54HG004592, U54HG007010, and U01ES01156 to J.A.S. A.B.S. was supported by grant FDK095678A from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. J.M.A. is a paid consultant for Glenview Capital. All data from this study are available through the ENCODE data repository at UCSC (www.encodeproject.org) and the Roadmap Epigenomics data repository at NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/epigenomics).

Supplementary Materials

www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6164/1367/suppl/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S13 Tables S1 to S3

References (37–63)

19 July 2013; accepted 23 October 2013 10.1126/science.1243490

Cryptic Variation in Morphological Evolution: HSP90 as a Capacitor for Loss of Eyes in Cavefish

Nicolas Rohner,¹ Dan F. Jarosz,²* Johanna E. Kowalko,¹ Masato Yoshizawa,³ William R. Jeffery,^{3,4} Richard L. Borowsky,⁵ Susan Lindquist,^{2,6,7} Clifford J. Tabin¹†

In the process of morphological evolution, the extent to which cryptic, preexisting variation provides a substrate for natural selection has been controversial. We provide evidence that heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) phenotypically masks standing eye-size variation in surface populations of the cavefish *Astyanax mexicanus*. This variation is exposed by HSP90 inhibition and can be selected for, ultimately yielding a reduced-eye phenotype even in the presence of full HSP90 activity. Raising surface fish under conditions found in caves taxes the HSP90 system, unmasking the same phenotypic variation as does direct inhibition of HSP90. These results suggest that cryptic variation played a role in the evolution of eye loss in cavefish and provide the first evidence for HSP90 as a capacitor for morphological evolution in a natural setting.

A longstanding question in evolutionary biology is the extent to which selection acts on preexisting "standing variation" in a population, as opposed to de novo mutations.

*Present address: Departments of Chemical and Systems Biology and Developmental Biology, School of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. †Corresponding author. E-mail: tabin@genetics.med.harvard. edu Recent studies have indicated that both mechanisms have contributed to morphological evolution (1, 2). Thus, although de novo mutations may exist and contribute to phenotypic evolution, repeated use of standing variation has played an important role in the evolution in these fish. However, these observations also raise a critical question: How is genetic variation maintained in a population if it is not adaptive before new selective conditions?

Waddington proposed that developmental processes are quite robust and produce the same phenotype regardless of minor genotypic variation, a phenomenon he termed "canalization" (*3*). In such conditions, cryptic variation can accumulate and can be maintained without consequence. He further proposed that under certain environmental conditions, this property could be lost ("decanalization"), resulting in expression of the cryptic variation on which selection could act (4).

More recently, Lindquist demonstrated that HSP90 (heat shock protein 90) provides a molecular mechanism for buffering genetic variation and releasing it in response to environmental stress (5-10). The HSP90 chaperone assists in the folding of proteins that are metastable signal transducers, such as kinases, transcription factors, and ubiquitin ligases. HSP90 is normally present at much higher concentrations than needed to maintain these proteins, allowing it to act as a buffer, protecting organisms from phenotypic consequences that would otherwise be caused by genetic variants of these proteins. Because protein folding is so sensitive to environmental stress, changes in the environment can exhaust the chaperone buffer, unmasking vulnerable polymorphisms. And because multiple variants can be unmasked at the same time, this system provides a mechanism to create complex traits in a single step (11).

Besides changes in the activities of kinases, phosphatases, transcription factors, and ubiquitin ligases, other distinct mechanisms have been reported by which changes in HSP90 function can lead to changes in phenotype (5, 10, 12-16)

Evidence strongly suggests that this mechanism has operated in microbial populations (7, 8), but its relevance to the evolution of natural populations of higher organisms remains highly controversial. Thus far, examples of HSP90-mediated canalization in multicellular eukaryotes have been limited to lab strains of various model organisms. Moreover, with the exception of some phenotypes in *Arabidopsis*, the phenotypes of HSP90released canalization in higher organisms are not

¹Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ²The Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA. ³Department of Biology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA. ⁴Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA. ⁵Department of Biology, New York University, New York, NY 10003, USA. ⁶Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA. ⁷Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA.

obviously adaptive. Last, it has been unclear how a heat shock or other environmental factor would feature in the normal context of natural selection.

If a long-term environmental stress were to drive the course of phenotypic evolution, it would plausibly arise when species are confronted with a completely foreign set of conditions. Such circumstances are met when organisms, such as the cavefish *Astyanax mexicanus*, are inadvertently introduced into a cave environment.

Cavefish display many phenotypic differences from their surface conspecifics. We chose to focus on the dramatic loss of eyes in the cave morph, a trait that has been shown to be influenced by at least 14 mapped quantitative trait loci. Moreover, genetic evidence suggests that eye loss is very likely to be adaptive (17, 18). Loss of eyes could have had direct adaptive importance—for example, in the energetic cost of maintaining eyes in an environment where they lack utility and/or could have been selected indirectly through the pleiotropic need to expand other sensory systems (supplementary text). It is possible that, to some extent, neutral effects could have also contributed to the process by which eyes were lost in the cave populations of *Astyanax*.

To reduce the capacity of HSP90 chaperone activities during development of the fish (mimicking the effects of environmental stress) (5-7, 19, 20), we used the well-characterized and highly specific inhibitor Radicicol. When developing, A. mexicanus were treated with 500 nM Radicicol; this resulted in a strong increase in expression of two marker genes for HSP90 inhibition, BAG3 and HSP27(HSPB1), as well as HSP90 itself (Fig. 1A and fig. S1), which is consistent with impairment of chaperone activity. The treated fish displayed an array of different low-penetrance phenotypes in surface, cave, and F2 populations (fig. S2). Similar to previous studies, most of the low-penetrance phenotypes were not obviously adaptive and either not viable or transient. Radicicol was not teratogenic for eye development per se. When an inbred laboratory strain of zebrafish was reared



Fig. 1. Reduction of HSP90 levels in *A. mexicanus* **using the chemical inhibitor Radicicol.** (A) Inhibition of HSP90 using 500 nM Radicicol leads to activation of BAG3 and HSPB1 (two-tailed *t* test, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.0005). Time scale refers to hours of treatment. (B) Variable eye sizes in surface *A. mexicanus* larvae after treatment. (C) Quantification of eye size in adult F2 hybrids after larval treatment of Radicicol reveals a significant increase in SD of eye size, whereas average eye size is not affected (two-sided *F* test: P = 0.0004; Bartlett's test, P = 0.001; Levene's test, P = 0.03). Raising the fish in the dark alone does not affect eye size. Values were corrected for body size by using standard length of the fish. (D) Examples of eye size variation in F2 population of hybrid *A. mexicanus*.

under similar conditions of Radicicol exposure (by using a concentration that elicited a similar transcriptional response of target genes), no difference was observed in eye size or morphology (fig. S3). In contrast, when *A. mexicanus* surface fish were raised in the presence of the drug we observed unusually large variation in eye size in larval fish (Fig. 1B).

We initially characterized the effect of HSP90 inhibition on adult stages in an F2 population derived from a cross between a surface fish and a cavefish, allowing us to examine eye size in the simultaneous presence of surface and cave alleles. Indeed, we observed both larger eyes and smaller eyes in the treated fish, leading to a statistically significant increase in the standard deviation (SD) of the treated fish [+58% compared with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-treated control groups; two-sided F test, P = 0.0004; Bartlett's test, P = 0.001; Levene's test, P = 0.03] (Fig. 1, C and D). These experiments were conducted in the dark because Radicicol is slightly light sensitive; however, raising Astyanax embryos in the presence or absence of light had no effect on eye size (Fig. 1C). Thus, inhibition of HSP90 permits the expression of cryptic variation in eye size present in the Astyanax populations.

If the cryptic variation we observed in eye size played a role in the evolution of the reduced eye trait within the caves, one would expect this variation to be present in the modern river population of surface fish (similar to the ancestral form) but to be less prevalent in the cave populations that have undergone selection for this trait. We observed a statistically significant increase in eye size variation (SD, +83% compared with DMSO-treated control fish; two-sided F test: $P = 8.1 \times 10^{-6}$; Bartlett's test, P < 0.001, Levene's test, P < 0.001) in the parental surface populations, again including both larger and smaller eyes than are ever seen in untreated broods (Fig. 2A). The same result was seen whether measuring the eye itself or the infraorbital bones surrounding the eye socket or "orbit" (SD, +108%; two-sided *F* test, $P = 3.4 \times 10^{-6}$; Bartlett's test, P < 0.001; Levene's test, P < 0.001) (Fig. 2B and fig. S4).

A very different result was observed, however, when we conducted parallel experiments on fish from the Tinaja cave population. Cavefish do not possess visible eyes but still retain a cavity in the skull where the eye would be located (fig. S4), so in this case, we focused on the orbit size. We detected no increase in the variation in orbit size in the inhibitor-treated cavefish (SD, -12%; two-sided F test, P = 0.239), suggesting that some of the alleles have been selected for in the cavefish population (Fig. 2C). However, we detect a statistically significant decrease in the orbit size in the inhibitor-treated individuals (two-tailed t test, P = 0.002) (Fig. 2C). This shows that the alleles that have been selected for in cavefish evolution are alleles that, at least in part, are dependent on and responsive to HSP90. Moreover, the HSP90-dependent alleles remaining in the cave population are specifically those contributing to

REPORTS

reduced eye sockets. These data are consistent with the possibility that HSP90 played a role in the evolution of eye size in Tinaja cavefish.

To test whether the cryptic variation in eye size uncovered by HSP90 inhibition can be genetically assimilated, we treated a population of embryonic surface fish with Radicicol. We then selected for smaller eye size by intercrossing fish from this treated brood whose eye size was smaller than any of the untreated fish raised in parallel. The resultant F2 fish, raised in the absence of the drug, all had eyes and orbit sizes at the lower end of the range of sizes observed in the parental fish and included many individuals with eyes and orbits smaller than any seen in the untreated surface fish and comparable with the smallest of the treated fish (Fig. 3, A and B). Thus, the individuals that develop the smallest eyes in the presence of HSP90 inhibition contain alleles that can contribute to inheritance of small eye size in the absence of treatment.

A critical question, however, is whether a river fish finding itself suddenly trapped in a cave environment would experience a HSP90-related stress response. To characterize abiotic factors that differ between the cave and river environments, we measured pH, oxygen content, temperature, and conductivity in the Tinaja cave and a nearby surface fish habitat in the Sierra de El Abra (table S1). The biggest numerical difference we detected was the much lower conductivity present in the cave water. Further sampling of additional caves revealed conductivities as low as 230 µS (Sabinos cave), compared with the 1300-µS conductivity in adjacent river environments. Low conductivity can elicit a heat shock such as stress response in fish (21), making it a good candidate for a cave-specific stress factor.

To investigate, we raised surface fish at the lowest measured conductivity from the Sabinos cave (230 μ S). When fish embryos develop under such conditions, they up-regulate HSP90, showing that they are indeed in a state of physiological stress response and, moreover, activate the same heat shock response genes that are up-regulated with HSP90 inhibition by Radicicol (Fig. 4B and fig. S5). Thus, the environment encountered by these fish during their evolutionary transition from surface to cave stresses the protein homeostasis mechanisms of the organism in a manner similar to a specific stress on HSP90 chaperone activities.

Adult river fish placed in low conductivity during larval development displayed statistically significant increases in eye and orbit size variation of 50% (two-sided F test, P = 0.0018; Bartlett's test, P = 0.006; Levene's test, P = 0.005) and 58% (two-sided F test, P = 5.9E-4; Bartlett's test, P =0.001; Levene's test, P = 0.01), respectively (Fig. 4, C and D). This demonstrates that a cave-specific environmental stress can elicit similar changes in morphological eye development as biochemical inhibition of HSP90.

We detected an increase in variation in eye size in fish treated with Radicicol or in the presnatural populations of A. mexicanus. (A and B) HSP90 inhibition in natural populations of surface A. mexicanus leads to an increase of variation in (A) eye size and (B) orbit size. Eye size SD, +83%; two-sided F test, $P = 8.1 \times 10^{-6}$; Bartlett's test, P < 0.001; Levene's test, P < 0.001; orbit size SD, +108%; two-sided F test: $P = 3.4 \times 10^{-6}$; Bartlett's test, P < 0.001; Levene's test, P < 0.001. (C) Orbit size decreases after Radicicol treatment in Tinaja cave populations (t test, P = 0.02), while change in variation is observed (SD, -12%; twosided F test, P = 0.239). Control is DMSO. Asterisks in (A) and (B) compare SDs, whereas in (C), asterisks compare averages.

0.12

0.115

0.11

0.105

0.1

0.095

0.09

0.085

orbit size/body length



Fig. 3. Genetic assimilation. (A and B) Selection for small eye size in surface fish generated by Radicicol treatment resulted in offspring with significantly smaller (A) orbit size and (B) eye size in the absence of treatment (two-tailed t test, $P = 6.5 \times 10^{-13}$ for orbit size; $P = 7.2 \times 10^{-16}$ for eye size). The resultant range exceeded the range seen in any cross of untreated surface fish.

F1

ence of environmental stresses, representing cryptic variation present in the untreated population. We further demonstrated that in cavefish popu-

lations, the alleles that are responsive to HSP90 have undergone selection in the transition from surface to cave forms, leaving only alleles that

F1

F1



Fig. 4. Low-conductivity conditions in the cave natural habitat have a similar effect to Radicicol treatment on surface populations. (A) Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction of BAG3 and HSPB1 for surface fish reared under low-conductivity (230 μ S) conditions compared with control conductivity conditions (two-tailed *t* test, **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.005;

produce a smaller orbit when released from their normal HSP90 interactions. This strongly suggests an involvement of HSP90 in cavefish evolution and provides an actual case in nature for Waddington's classic theory of the role of canalization in evolution. Not all cave-specific traits appear to have relied on HSP90-canalized cryptic variation for their evolution. We examined several other traits and found, for example, that there is no cryptic variation in body size (fig. S6) or in neuromast number (fig. S7) uncovered by HSP90 inhibition in the populations we examined.

It is also reasonable to assume that the change in conductivity is only one factor contributing to the stress response that surface fish might experience after colonizing the caves (such as lower oxygen levels or starvation). However, such environmentally induced stress is likely to have been only transient because the cavefish would have adapted to these new conditions over subsequent generations. Cavefish have higher basal HSP90 levels than those of surface fish (22), potentially rendering them more stress-resistant. However, during the transition period when the fish were adapting to the cave conditions, the HSP90dependent standing variation in eye size we observed in the surface population of A. mexicanus would have helped potentiate a rapid response to the cave environment.

Of course, the extreme environment of the cavefish is exceptional in many ways. Yet, environmental challenges are likely to be a driving force for many other adaptations. For example, temperature increases are extremely common in nature, and even simple starvation affects Hsp expression in European Sea Bass (23).

References and Notes

- 1. P. F. Colosimo et al., Science 307, 1928-1933 (2005).
- 2. F. C. Jones et al., Nature 484, 55-61 (2012).
- 3. C. H. Waddington, Nature 150, 563-565 (1942).
- 4. C. H. Waddington, *Evolution* 7, 118 (1953).
- 5. S. L. Rutherford, S. Lindquist, Nature 396, 336-342 (1998).
- C. Queitsch, T. A. Sangster, S. Lindquist, Nature 417, 618–624 (2002).
- 7. D. F. Jarosz, S. Lindquist, Science 330, 1820-1824 (2010).

- L. E. Cowen, S. Lindquist, *Science* **309**, 2185–2189 (2005).
 Y. Xu, M. A. Singer, S. Lindquist, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*
- **96**, 109–114 (1999).
- 10. M. Taipale et al., Cell 150, 987-1001 (2012).
- D. F. Jarosz, M. Taipale, S. Lindquist, Annu. Rev. Genet. 44, 189–216 (2010).
- 12. V. Sollars et al., Nat. Genet. 33, 70–74 (2003).
- D. Mittelman, K. Sykoudis, M. Hersh, Y. Lin, J. H. Wilson, Cell Stress Chaperones 15, 753–759 (2010).
- G. Chen, W. D. Bradford, C. W. Seidel, R. Li, *Nature* 482, 246–250 (2012).
- 15. V. Specchia et al., Nature 463, 662–665 (2010).
- R. Sawarkar, C. Sievers, R. Paro, *Cell* **149**, 807–818 (2012).
 M. Protas, M. Conrad, J. B. Gross, C. Tabin, R. Borowsky, *Curr. Biol.* **17**, 452–454 (2007).
- 18. W. R. lefferv, Annu. Rev. Genet. 43, 25–47 (2009).
- 19. T. W. Schulte *et al., Mol. Endocrinol.* **13**, 1435–1448 (1999).
- P. L. Yeyati, R. M. Bancewicz, J. Maule, V. van Heyningen, PLoS Genet. 3, e43 (2007).
- C. Y. Choi, K. W. An, Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol. 149, 91–100 (2008).
- T. A. Hooven, Y. Yamamoto, W. R. Jeffery, *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 48, 731–738 (2004).
- E. Antonopoulou et al., Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 165, 79–88 (2013).

***P < 0.0005). Time scale refers to hours of treatment. (**B** and **C**) Lowerconductivity conditions reveal an increase in variation of (B) orbit size and (C) eye size (eye size SD, +50%; two-sided *F* test, *P* = 0.0018; Bartlett's test, *P* = 0.006; Levene's test, *P* = 0.005; orbit size SD, +58%; two-sided *F* test: *P* = 5.9 × 10⁻⁴; Bartlett's test, *P* = 0.001; Levene's test, *P* = 0.01).

> Acknowledgments: We thank B. Martineau for animal husbandry and T. Luong for assistance in data acquisition; M. Taipale for HSP90 inhibitor and discussions; H. Boldt and M. Harris for providing zebrafish; L. Espinasa for practical support during the caving expedition; L. Legendre for comparison of environmental parameters; and J. Bibliowicz and Y. Elipot for discussions. N.R. was supported by a Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft postdoctoral fellowship (RO 4097/1-1). D.F.J. was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation and a Pathway to Independence Award from the NIH. C.J.T. acknowledges the support of a grant from NIH RO1 HD047360.

Supplementary Materials

www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6164/1372/suppl/DC1 Materials and Methods Supplementary Text Figs. S1 to S7 Table S1 References (24–29)

8 May 2013; accepted 31 October 2013 10.1126/science.1240276

Progressive Specification Rather than Intercalation of Segments During Limb Regeneration

Kathleen Roensch,^{1,2}*† Akira Tazaki,^{1,2}*† Osvaldo Chara,^{3,4} Elly M. Tanaka^{1,2}†‡

An amputated salamander limb regenerates the correct number of segments. Models explaining limb regeneration were largely distinct from those for limb development, despite the presence of common patterning molecules. Intercalation has been an important concept to explain salamander limb regeneration, but clear evidence supporting or refuting this model was lacking. In the intercalation model, the first blastema cells acquire fingertip identity, creating a gap in positional identity that triggers regeneration of the intervening region from the stump. We used HOXA protein analysis and transplantation assays to show that axolotl limb blastema cells acquire positional identity in a proximal-to-distal sequence. Therefore, intercalation is not the primary mechanism for segment formation during limb regeneration in this animal. Patterning in development and regeneration uses similar mechanisms.

umerous models to explain proximodistal metazoan limb patterning during regeneration have been proposed. Cell intercalation has become an important concept based on the results of grafting experiments (I-6). Cell intercalation is a patterning process whereby experimentally